UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/934,738	08/22/2001	Ingo Molnar	019322-000340	9016
	7590 04/27/200 N ALLEN PLLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 13706			CHOUDHURY, AZIZUL Q	
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2445	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/934,738	MOLNAR, INGO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AZIZUL CHOUDHURY	2445	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Detailed Action

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 13, 2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Challenger et al (US Pat No: 6,256,712) in view of Curtis (US Patent No: 6,934,761), hereafter referred to as Challenger and Curtis, respectively.

1. With regards to claims 1, 5, 9 and 11, Challenger teaches through Curtis, in a communication server, a method of responding to a client application, the method comprising the steps of: a cache disposed in an operating system kernel

Application/Control Number: 09/934,738 Page 3

Art Unit: 2445

(Challenger's design uses computer and all current computers/servers inherently require an operating system and all current operating systems inherently require a kernel; see column 5, lines 41-67, Challenger); receiving from the client application an application protocol request (A webpage is a response to a request because a webpage must be requested by a client) corresponding to a response that can be displayed as a combination of a portion of the response that changes and a part of the response that is static (Challenger's design allows the webpage (equivalent to the claimed response to request) to contain cached (equivalent to the claimed static) information; see column 2, line 56 – column 3, line 5 and column 13, lines 57-62, Challenger); creating at the server the portion of the response that changes (Challenger's design allows the webpage (equivalent to the claimed response to request) to contain newly refreshed content (equivalent to the claimed dynamic portions/portion of the response that changes to the application); see column 2, lines 55-66 and column 13, line 65 – column 14, line 8, Challenger); sending the portion of the response that changes to the client application (column 28, lines 46-58, Challenger) and then retrieving the part of the response that is static from a cache disposed in an operating system kernel (a kernel is an inherent part of an operating system and a server inherently has an operating system; see column 13, line 57 – column 14, line 22, Challenger. Also see Curtis below); and sending the part of the response that is static to the client application (column 28, lines 46-58, Challenger, Challenger discloses a design enabling the updating content within a server so that updated

content is submitted to the client. The design allows for current copies of both dynamic (portion that changes to the application) and static data (objects) to be cached within the server (column 2, lines 5-8, Challenger). The cached data (objects) is consistently updated (column 2, lines 54-55, Challenger). When required, the data (objects) (both static and dynamic) are dynamically rebuilt as needed and provided to the client (column 2, line 53 – column 3, line 34, Challenger). Finally, the use of a cache/buffer/registry within an operating system of a computer is inherent).

While Challenger teaches a system for a dynamic (portion that changes to the application) and static webpage, Challenger does not explicitly recite a "request" and a "response to a request." In the same field of endeavor, Curtis also teaches a web server design. Within Curtis' disclosure it is taught how a client makes a HTTP request (webpage request) and the server responds to request; see column 2, lines 48-51, Curtis. In particular, the request and response is handled by the cache within the kernel of the server; see column 2, lines 46-51, Curtis. Handling the server requests and responses at the kernel cache level allows for minimum processing resources to be required. Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art, during the time of the invention, to have combined the teachings of Challenger with those of Curtis to handle web server requests and responses at the kernel cache level with minimum processing resources; see column 2, lines 35-36, Curtis.

Application/Control Number: 09/934,738 Page 5

Art Unit: 2445

2. With regards to claims 2, 6, 10, 13 and 14, Challenger teaches through Curtis the method wherein the cache disposed within the operating system kernel is a protocol object cache (Challenger's design allows for caches (*column 2, lines 5-8, Challenger*) (*column 5, lines 51-52, Challenger*)).

- 3. With regards to claims 3, 4, 7, 8 and 12, Challenger teaches through Curtis the method wherein the application protocol request and the reply are formatted according to a hypertext transmission protocol (HTTP) (*Challenger's design allows for HTTPD (Figure 30A, Challenger). Hence, HTTP is supported*).
- 4. The obviousness statement applied to claims 1, 5, 9 and 11 are applicable to their respective dependent claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AZIZUL CHOUDHURY whose telephone number is (571)272-3909. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 09/934,738 Page 6

Art Unit: 2445

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton B. Burgess can be reached on (571) 272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Patrice Winder/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445

/A. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2445